

Step 6 Show $\sum_{f \in \mathcal{U}^{\text{ord}}(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \mathcal{U}^m(\mathbb{Z})} \alpha_T(f) \sim \prod_p W_p \cdot V \cdot T.$

Recall that $\mathcal{U}^m(\mathbb{Z}) = \{f \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}) \mid f \in \mathcal{U}^m(\mathbb{Z}_p) \forall p\}.$

We use a sieve (with step 3). This immediately shows " \leq ".

For " \geq ", the only difficulty is showing the following estimate:
uniformity

Thm 15.5.9 For any $T, P,$

$$\sum_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{U}^{\text{ord}}(\mathbb{Z}) \\ f \in \mathcal{U}^m(\mathbb{Z}_p)}} \alpha_T(f) \ll \frac{T}{p^2} \text{ where the constant is independent of } T \text{ and } p.$$

Note If $f \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}_p), f \notin \mathcal{U}^m(\mathbb{Z}_p),$ then $p^2 \mid \text{disc}(f).$

Lemma 15.5.10 ~~Let L be an étale cubic \mathbb{Q}_p -algebra and $S \subseteq \mathcal{O}_L$ a cubic ext. of $\mathbb{Z}_p.$~~

~~If $S \neq \mathcal{O}_L,$ then S is a subset of some cubic ext.~~

~~$$S \subseteq S' \subseteq \mathcal{O}_L$$~~

~~of type I: ~~if (θ_1, θ_2) is a \mathbb{Z}_p -basis of $S'/\mathbb{Z}_p,$ then~~~~

~~if (θ_1, θ_2) is a \mathbb{Z}_p -basis of S'/\mathbb{Z}_p then~~

~~$(p\theta_1, p\theta_2)$ is a \mathbb{Z}_p -basis of $S/\mathbb{Z}_p.$ ($\Rightarrow [S':S]=p$)~~

~~or of type II: there is a \mathbb{Z}_p -basis (θ_1', θ_2') of S'/\mathbb{Z}_p~~

~~such that $(p\theta_1', \theta_2')$ is a \mathbb{Z}_p -basis of S/\mathbb{Z}_p~~

~~and the cubic form $f' \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ corr. to~~

~~$(S', (p\theta_1', \theta_2'))$ is not divisible by $p.$ ($\Rightarrow [S':S]=1$)~~

Q26

Lemma 15.5.10

$\{f \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \text{ mod. over } \mathbb{Q}_p\}$

Consider an orbit $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)f$ in $\mathcal{V}^i(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ with $f \notin \mathcal{V}^m(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

One of the following holds:

a) ~~.....~~ $p \mid f$

b) $p \nmid f$, but $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)f = GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} f'$

for some $f' \in \mathcal{V}^i(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. [f, f' corr. to ext. S, S' of \mathbb{Z}_p with $S \not\subseteq S'$

\uparrow index p^2 in case a), index r in case b)

Q2 Let f corr. to S of \mathbb{Z}_p and the ext. L of \mathbb{Q}_p .
the ext.

$\Rightarrow S \not\subseteq \mathcal{O}_L$.

Let \mathcal{O}_L corr. to the cubic form $f'' \in \mathcal{V}^i(\mathbb{Z})$.

Since $S \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p = L = \mathcal{O}_L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$, we have $f = M f''$

for some $M \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ (base change matrix from $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathbb{Z}_p$ to S/\mathbb{Z}_p)

Since $S \not\subseteq \mathcal{O}_L$, we have $M \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. (I)

Since $S \neq \mathcal{O}_L$, we have $M \notin GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, so $\det(M) \notin \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. (II)

Only the $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ -orbits of f and f'' matter, so we can (w.l.o.g.) multiply M by elements of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ on the left and on the right (independently) to put M into Smith normal

form: $M = \begin{pmatrix} p^r & 0 \\ 0 & p^s \end{pmatrix}$ with $r \geq s$.

(I) $\Rightarrow s \geq 0$.

(II) $\Rightarrow r+s \neq 0$.

assume $p \nmid f$.

\Rightarrow We can't have $r = s \geq 1$ because $\overset{\text{clear}}{f}'' = M^{-1} f = p^{-r} \cdot f$.
 $\mathcal{V}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$

Hence, $r \geq s + 1$.

Write $f = aX^3 + bX^2Y + cXY^2 + dY^3$.

$$\Rightarrow \overset{\mathcal{V}(\mathbb{Z}_p)}{f}'' = M^{-1} f = p^{-2r+s} aX^3 + p^{-r} bX^2Y + p^{-s} cXY^2 + p^{r-2s} dY^3$$

$$\Rightarrow p^{-2} a, p^{-1} b \in \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}}_{=: f'} f \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{Z}_p). \quad \square$$

Cor 15.5.11

If moreover $f \in \mathcal{V}^i(\mathbb{Z})$, then

a) $p \mid f$ or

b) $p \nmid f$, but $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) f = GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} f'$ for some $f' \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Prf a) clear

b) We know ~~that $f \in \mathcal{V}^i(\mathbb{Z})$ implies $f \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$~~

$$\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} M f \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \text{ for some } M \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p).$$

We can multiply M on the left by an element of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ (which commutes with $\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$!) to make $\det(M) = 1$. There is some $M' \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that

$M' \equiv M \pmod{p^2}$. Then, $M f \equiv M' f \pmod{p^2}$ implies

that we also have $\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} M' f \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{Z})$. \square

Pf of Thm 15.5.9

For case a),

$$\sum_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{Z}) \\ p \nmid f}} \alpha_T(f) = \sum_{\substack{f' \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{Z}) \\ f = pf'}} \underbrace{\alpha_T(pf')}_{\alpha_{T/p^4}(f')} \ll \frac{T}{p^4} \text{ by step 3.}$$

For case b):

~~Claim~~ For each $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbit in $\mathcal{V}(\mathbb{Z})$ with $p \nmid f'$ for $f' \in B$, there are at most 3 $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits A such that $A = GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} f'$ for some $f' \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z})f'$.
~~If~~ $p \mid f$, there are at most $p+1$ such orbits.

This then implies:

$$\sum_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{Z}) \\ p \nmid f}} \alpha_T(f) \leq 3 \sum_{f' \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{Z})} \alpha_{T/p^2}(f') \ll \frac{T}{p^2}.$$

but $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})f = GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} f'$ for some $f' \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{Z})$

$$+ (p+1) \sum_{\substack{f' \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{Z}) \\ p \mid f'}} \alpha_{T/p^2}(f')$$

Pf of claim

We have $\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} f'' \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{Z})$ if and only if $f'' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

~~Consider the map $\phi: GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^*$~~
 ~~$M \mapsto M^T \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p}$~~

We have $\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} M^T f' \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{Z})$ if and only if $M^T \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is a root of $f' \pmod{p}$. If $M^T \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \equiv M'^T \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p}$, then $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} M^T f' = GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} M'^T f'$ because $M'M'^{-1} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p}$ and therefore $\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} M'M'^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

2ence, the number of orbits A is the no. of roots of f'

in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^1$, which is ≤ 3 if $p \nmid f$
and $p+1$ if $p \mid f$.

claim $\rightarrow \square$

Thm 15.5.9 $\rightarrow \square$

Thm 15.5.1 $\rightarrow \square$

15.6. ~~Outline~~ Outlook on higher degrees

Bruck Every degree n form $f \in K[x, y]$ ^{with $\text{disc}(f) \neq 0$} gives rise to an étale deg. n ext. of K (namely the ring of global sections of the vanishing locus of f on \mathbb{P}_K^1).

Every étale deg. n ext. arises from some f .

But ^(for $n \geq 4$) there are "way more" $GL_2(K)$ -orbits of forms than étale extensions: say K ~~is~~ is algebraically closed.

$$\dim(GL_2(K)) = 4$$

$$\dim(\{\text{deg. } n \text{ forms}\}) = n+1$$

\Rightarrow There are ∞ many orbits.

But there ~~is~~ is only \bullet 1 étale ext.

Bruck $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus \{\text{quartic forms with } \text{disc} \neq 0\} \Leftrightarrow$ Selmer elements of \bullet ell. curves
locally soluble

Prule (Wright-Yukie)

étale deg. 4 ext. $\leftrightarrow (GL_2 \times GL_3)(K) \setminus \left\{ (A, B) \text{ pair of ternary quad. forms} \right.$
(with coeff. in ~~K~~ K
 $\left. \mid \dots \neq 0 \right\}$

Prule (W-Y)

étale deg. 5 ext. $\leftrightarrow (GL_4 \times GL_5)(K) \setminus \left\{ (A_1, \dots, A_4) \text{ tuple of skew-symm.} \right.$
 5×5 -matrices $\left. \mid \dots \neq 0 \right\}$

Bhargava counted deg. 4, 5 ext. of \mathcal{Q} by discriminant
by studying rings of integers and integral orbits.

the relationship between

16. Abelian extensions

Let G be a finite ~~abelian~~ ^{abelian} group.

Prm 16.1

If $L|K$ is a Galois ext. with group G , we have a surjection $\Gamma_K \twoheadrightarrow \text{Gal}(L|K) \xrightarrow{\sim} G$.

$$G \longmapsto G|_K$$

Conversely, any ^{continuous} surj. $f: \Gamma_K \twoheadrightarrow G$ arises from a Galois ext. with group G (the subfield of K^{sep} fixed by $\ker(f)$).

We'll count arbitrary cont. grp. hom. $\Gamma_K \twoheadrightarrow G$
(corr. to étale ext. vs. just field ext.)

~~If G is abelian, any hom.~~

Let K^{ab} be the maximal abelian ext. of K
(= compositum of all abelian ext.)

(= subext. of K^{sep} fixed by commutator subgr. of Γ_K)

For abelian G , any cont. hom. $\Gamma_K \twoheadrightarrow G$ factors through $\Gamma_K^{\text{ab}} = \text{Gal}(K^{\text{ab}}|K)$.

Let K be a number field from now on.

For any p , let $I_p \subseteq \Gamma_K^{\text{ab}}$ be the inertia subgroup for p

(It's generally defined only up to conjugation!)

Def $f: \Gamma_K^{\text{ab}} \twoheadrightarrow G$ is unramified at p if $f(I_p) = \{id\}$.

~~Prm 16.2 a) $L|K$ unram. at $p \Leftrightarrow$ corr. $f: \Gamma_K^{\text{ab}} \twoheadrightarrow G$ unram. at p .~~

Prm 16.2 a) $L|K$ unram. at $p \Leftrightarrow$ corr. $f: \Gamma_K^{\text{ab}} \twoheadrightarrow G$ unram. at p .

Prm 16.2 b) any f has only finitely many ramified primes p .