

Other applications of the circle method:

1) Asymptotics for the no. of partitions of an integer n with $n \rightarrow \infty$.

2) Other weak forms of Goldbach's conjecture,

such as $n = p_1 + p_2 + k^2$, ...
(Reference: Vaughan's book)

3) Number of ways of writing $n = a_1 x_1^2 + \dots + a_k x_k^2$

for fixed $0 < a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$, varying $x_1, \dots, x_k \in [-N^{1/2}, N^{1/2}]$

for $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(Reference: Heath-Brown: A new form of the circle method, and its applications to quadratic forms)

4) Waring's problem: ~~Every~~ Every (suff. large) $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

can be written as a sum of k -th powers.

at most $c(k)$

What's the smallest such $c(k)$?

(Reference: Vaughan's book)

⋮

13. Equidistribution

References

- Chapter 11 in Murty
- Noam Elkies's lecture notes

Def 13.1 A sequence $a_1, a_2, \dots \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ is equidistributed / uniformly distributed if ~~the~~ the following equivalent statements hold:

a) For all ~~intervals~~ (open / closed / arbitrary) intervals $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \# \{1 \leq n \leq N : a_n \in I\} = \text{length}(I).$$

b) For ~~every~~ every (piecewise) continuous function $f: \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (or \mathbb{C}),

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f(a_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} f(x) dx.$$



c) For all $0 \neq t \in \mathbb{Z}$ (or all $0 < t \in \mathbb{Z}$),

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N e(t a_n) = 0.$$

Prbls There are other notions of equidistribution. E.g.:

A sequence $(S_N)_N$ of (multi-)sets $S_N \subseteq \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ is equidistr.

if a) $\forall I$:

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|S_N|} \sum_{\substack{x \in S_N: \\ x \in I}} (\text{mult. of } x \text{ in } S_N) = \text{length}(I)$$

b) --

c) --

A ~~sequence~~ sequence (μ_N) of measures on \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} is equidistr. if
(weakly conv. to Lebesgue measure)

$$a) \forall I : \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{1}_I d\mu_N = \text{length}(I)$$

b) --

c) --

Or a sequence could be equidistr. w.r.t. some other (non-Lebesgue) measure on \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} .

Pf (sketch)
b) \Rightarrow c): clear

b) \Rightarrow a): approximate 1_I by continuous functions.

a) \Rightarrow b): approximate f by step functions
(= linear combinations of indicator functions 1_I).

c) \Rightarrow b): approximate f by functions of the
form $\sum_{t=-M}^M b_t e(itx)$.

□

~~Proof~~

Proof c) looks like a qualitative version of the kind of estimate we needed for minor arcs in the circle method.

b) ~~is also useful~~ is also useful. For example, we previously wrote $\sum_{a \leq N} d(a) = \sum_{a \leq N} \left[\frac{N}{a} \right] = \sum_{a \leq N} \frac{N}{a} - \sum_{a \leq N} \left\{ \frac{N}{a} \right\}$.

~~if~~ the fractional parts $\left\{ \frac{N}{a} \right\}$ were equidistributed,

~~we~~ let $S_N = \left\{ \left\{ \frac{N}{a} \right\} : 1 \leq a \leq N \right\}$.

If the sequence $(S_N)_N$ were equidistributed, then

$$\sum_{a \leq N} \left\{ \frac{N}{a} \right\} \sim N \cdot \int_0^1 x dx = \frac{1}{2} N.$$

(But we've previously seen that this is false!)

Ex Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, $a_n = \{\lambda n\}$ is equidistr. if and only if $\lambda \notin \mathbb{Q}$.

Pf " \Rightarrow " via a): If $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$, then ~~the~~ ^{the sequence} only takes finitely many values. \Rightarrow There are gaps.
 \Rightarrow not equidistributed.

* * * * *

" \Leftarrow " via b): If $t\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \underbrace{e(t\lambda n)}_1 = 1 \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

" \Leftarrow " via c): Since $t\lambda \notin \mathbb{Z}$ and therefore $e(t\lambda) \neq 1$, we have

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N e(t\lambda n) = \frac{1}{N} e(t\lambda) \cdot \underbrace{\frac{e(t\lambda N) - 1}{e(t\lambda) - 1}}_{\ll 1} \ll \frac{1}{N} \rightarrow 0$$

□

Ex The sequence of $S_N = \left\{ \frac{b}{N} \mid b \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$
is equidistributed:

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}} e\left(t \frac{b}{N}\right) = 0 \quad \text{unless } N \mid t.$$

Ex The sequence of $S_N = \left\{ \frac{a}{N} \mid a \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$
is equidistributed:

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times} e\left(t \frac{a}{N}\right) \ll \frac{|t|}{N} \quad (\text{cf. pt. of Thm 12.2.6}).$$

Ex Let a_1, a_2, \dots be the fractions $\frac{b}{q} \in [0, 1)$ sorted by $q \geq 1$
(reduced)

and in case of ties by b :

$$\frac{0}{1}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \dots$$

This sequence is equidistributed.

Thm 13.2 (van der Corput; Weyl differencing trick)

If the sequence $(a_{n+d} - a_n)_n$ is equidistributed for all $d \geq 1$,
then $(a_n)_n$ is equidistributed.

~~Proof~~

First attempt of a pt

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^N e(t a_n) \right|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^N e(t a_n) \sum_{m=1}^N \overline{e(t a_m)}$$

$$= \sum_{n,m} e(t(a_n - a_m))$$

1 if $n=m$

$$= N + \sum_{n \neq m} e(t(a_n - a_m))$$

$$= N + \sum_{\substack{-N < d < N \\ d \neq 0}} \sum_{\substack{n: \\ 1 \leq n \leq N, \\ 1 \leq n+d \leq N}} e(t(a_{n+d} - a_n))$$

\uparrow
 $d = n - m$

looks like the sum in
the def. of equidist. of $(a_{n+d} - a_n)_n$

Problem: We ~~don't know~~ don't know ~~how quickly~~ how quickly

$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n: \dots} e(t(a_{n+d} - a_n))$ goes to 0 ~~for~~ for $N \rightarrow \infty$ as d varies.

Solution: Only allow bounded differences.

We'll show the following slightly more general lemma:

Lemma 13.3 Let $x_1, \dots, x_N \in \mathbb{C}$, $H \geq 1$. Then,

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^N x_n \right|^2 \leq \frac{H+N}{H+1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N |x_n|^2 + 2 \sum_{d=1}^H \left(1 - \frac{d}{H+1}\right) \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N-d} x_{n+d} \overline{x_n} \right| \right)$$

Prf Let $x_n = 0$ unless $1 \leq n \leq N$.

$$(H+1)^2 \left| \sum_n x_n \right|^2 = \left| \sum_{h=0}^H \sum_n x_{n+h} \right|^2 = \left| \sum_{n=-H+1}^N \sum_{h=0}^H x_{n+h} \right|^2$$

$$\leq (H+N) \cdot \sum_n \left| \sum_{h=0}^H x_{n+h} \right|^2$$

Cauchy-Schwarz
or
AM-QM

Here, $\sum_n \left| \sum_{h=0}^H x_{n+h} \right|^2 = \sum_n \sum_{0 \leq h, k \leq H} x_{n+h} \overline{x_{n+k}}$

$$= \sum_n \left\{ \sum_h |x_{n+h}|^2 + \sum_{\substack{-H \leq d \leq H \\ d \neq 0}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq H \\ 0 \leq k+d \leq H}} x_{n+k+d} \overline{x_{n+k}} \right\}$$

$$= (H+1) \sum_n |x_n|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{d=1}^H \sum_{n=1}^{N-d} x_{n+d} \overline{x_n} \right)$$

$$\leq (H+1) \sum_n |x_n|^2 + 2 \sum_{d=1}^H (H+1-d) \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N-d} x_{n+d} \overline{x_n} \right|$$

□

Pr of Dir

Use the lemma with $x_n = e(it a_n)$.

$$\Rightarrow \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N e(it a_n) \right|^2$$

$$\leq \frac{H+1}{H+1} \left(1 + 2 \cdot \sum_{d=1}^H \left(1 - \frac{d}{H+1} \right) \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N-d} e(it(a_{n+d} - a_n)) \right| \right)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \downarrow N \rightarrow \infty \\ \frac{1}{H+1} \end{array}$$

~~1 + 2 \cdot \sum_{d=1}^H \left(1 - \frac{d}{H+1} \right)~~

$\downarrow N \rightarrow \infty$

0 because $(a_{n+d} - a_n)_n$
is equidistributed

$$\Rightarrow \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} (\text{LHS}) \leq \frac{1}{H+1} \quad \text{for all } H \geq 1.$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (\text{LHS}) = 0$$

$\Rightarrow (a_n)_n$ is equidistributed.

□

Cor 13.4 Let $f(x) = b_m x^m + \dots + b_0 \in \mathbb{R}[x]$. ~~Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$~~

Then, $a_n = \{f(n)\}$ is equidistributed if and only if $b_i \notin \mathbb{Q}$ for some $i \geq 1$.

Pf " \Rightarrow " If $b_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ for all $i \geq 1$, then $\{f(n)\}$ only takes finitely many values.

" \Leftarrow " ~~Let $b_i \in \mathbb{Q}$~~

We prove the statement by induction over m .

w.l.o.g. $b_0 = 0$.

If $b_m \in \mathbb{Q}$, say ~~Let $b_m = \frac{p}{q}$~~ $b_m = \frac{p}{q}$,

let $g(x) = f(x) - b_m x^m$.

$\Rightarrow \deg(g) < m$ and g still has an irrational nonconst. coeff.

$$\sum_{n=1}^N e(tf(n)) = \sum_{n=1}^N e\left(\underbrace{tb_m n^m}_{\frac{p}{q}}\right) e(tg(n))$$

$$= \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}} e\left(\frac{tp r^m}{q}\right) \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \equiv r \pmod{q}}}^N e(tg(n))$$

$$= \sum_{0 \leq m \leq \lfloor \frac{N-r}{q} \rfloor} e(tg(r+qm))$$

$n = r + qm$
 (where $1 \leq r \leq q$)

The pol. $g(r+qx)$ has an irrational nonconst. coeff., so

by induction $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N e(-\dots) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0$.

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N e(t f(n)) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

$\nexists b_m \notin \mathbb{Q}$: $\nexists m=1$, ~~assume~~ this is the example $a_n = \{b_1 n\}$, so
assume $m \geq 1$.

For any $d \geq 1$, the polynomial $f(x+d) - f(x)$
 $= b_m((x+d)^m - x^m) + b_{m-1}((x+d)^{m-1} - x^{m-1}) + \dots$
of degree $m-1$ has the irrational leading coefficient $md a_m$.

\Rightarrow By induction, the sequence $(f(n+d) - f(n))_n$
is equidistr. for all $d \geq 1$.

\Rightarrow By the Ithm, the sequence $(f(n))_n$ is equidistr. \square

Prms One can, and e.g. when applying the circle
method ~~to~~ to Waring's problem wants to -
estimate the rate of convergence ("the speed of
equidistribution").