

Thm 11.3.5 (Fundamental lemma of sieve theory)

Let a_1, a_2, \dots be a mult. seq. of sieve dimension $\leq k$.

Let $s \geq 1$ be suff. large (depending on the sequence).

Let $D \geq 1$ and $z = D^{1/s}$.

For some $1 \leq \beta \leq s$, we then have

$$\sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_{\beta, D}^{\neq}} \mu(d) a_d = V(z) (1 + O(e^{-s})).$$

(In part, for large s ,

$$\sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_{\beta, D}^{\neq}} \mu(d) a_d \asymp V(z).)$$

Lemma 11.3.6

If $d = p_1 \cdots p_r \in \mathcal{O}_{\beta, D}^\times$ with $p_1 < \cdots < p_r < D^{1/\beta}$,
then $d \leq D^{1 - (\frac{\beta-1}{\beta})^r}$.

pf by ind. over r .

$r=0$: $d=1 \leq D^{1-1} \checkmark$

$r=1$: $d=p_1 < D^{1/\beta} = D^{1 - \frac{\beta-1}{\beta}} \checkmark$

$r \geq 2$: We have

$$p_k^{\beta+1} p_{k+1} \cdots p_r \leq D \text{ for } k=1 \text{ or for } k=2$$

(depending on the parity of r).

$$\Rightarrow p_1^\beta p_2 \cdots p_r \leq D. \quad (\text{I})$$

Since $p_2 \cdots p_r \in \mathcal{O}_{\beta, D}^\times$ according to axiom b),
we have $p_2 \cdots p_r \leq D^{1 - (\frac{\beta-1}{\beta})^{r-1}}$ by induction.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow d^\beta &= (p_1 \cdots p_r)^\beta \stackrel{(\text{I})}{\leq} D \cdot (p_2 \cdots p_r)^{\beta-1} \\ &\leq D^{1 + (\beta-1)(1 - (\frac{\beta-1}{\beta})^{r-1})} = D^{\beta(1 + (\frac{\beta-1}{\beta})^r)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Pf of Thm 11.3.5

$$\sum_{d \in \mathcal{O}_{\beta,0}^*} \mu(d) a_d$$

$$\stackrel{\substack{= \\ \uparrow \\ \text{Lemma 11.3.2}}}{=} V(z) + O\left(\sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{O}_{\beta,0}^* \\ d \notin \mathcal{O}_{\beta,0}^* \\ \frac{d}{\text{eff}(d)} \in \mathcal{O}_{\beta,0}^*}} a_d V(\text{eff}(d)) \right) \ll V(z) \cdot \left(\frac{\log(z)}{\log(\text{eff}(d))} \right)^k$$

Write $d = p_1 \cdots p_r$

If $d \notin \mathcal{O}_{\beta,0}^*$, we must have $D^{\frac{\beta+1}{s}} \geq p_1^{\beta+1} p_2 \cdots p_r > D$,
 (but $\frac{d}{p_1} \in \mathcal{O}_{\beta,0}^*$)

so $r > s - \beta$. Moreover, by Lemma 11.3.6, we have

$$p_2 \cdots p_r \leq D^{1 - \left(\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}\right)^{r-1}}, \text{ so then}$$

$$p_1^{\beta+1} > D^{\left(\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}\right)^{r-1}} > D^{\left(\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}\right)^r \cdot \frac{\beta+1}{\beta}}, \text{ so}$$

$$p_1 > D^{\left(\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}\right)^r \cdot \frac{1}{\beta}} \geq z^{\left(\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}\right)^r}. \text{ In particular, } \frac{\log z}{\log p_1} \leq \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta-1}\right)^r$$

$$\begin{matrix} \uparrow \\ \text{D} = z^s, \\ s \geq \beta \end{matrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum \mu(d) a_d$$

$$A := \frac{d \in \mathcal{O}_{\beta, D}^+}{V(z)} - 1$$

$$\ll \sum_{\substack{d = p_1 \cdots p_r \\ z \left(\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}\right)^r \leq p_1 < \dots < p_r \leq z \\ r \geq s-\beta}} a_d \cdot \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta-1}\right)^{r-k}$$

$$\leq \sum_{r \geq s-\beta} \frac{1}{r!} \left(\sum_{z \left(\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}\right)^r \leq p \leq z} a_p \right) \cdot \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta-1}\right)^{r-k}$$

$$-\log \frac{V(z)}{V(z \left(\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}\right)^r)} = \left(r \log \frac{\beta}{\beta-1} + O(1) \right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{r \geq s-\beta} \frac{r^r}{r!} \cdot \left(\log \frac{\beta}{\beta-1} + O\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \right)^r \cdot \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta-1}\right)^{r-k}$$

$$\leq e^r$$

Let $f(\beta) = e^{k \log \frac{\beta}{\beta-1}} \cdot \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta-1}\right)^k$.

We have $f(\beta) \rightarrow 0$ as $\beta \rightarrow \infty$.

Choose β so that $f(\beta) \leq \frac{1}{2e}$. Then,

$$A \ll \sum_{r \geq s-\beta} \left(\frac{1}{2e} + O\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right) \right)^r \leq \sum_{r \geq s-\beta} \frac{1}{e^r} \ll \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{1}{e^s}$$

↑
suff. large s

□

Cor 11.3.7 (Weaker form of twin prime conjecture)

Let $t \geq 1$ be suff. large. Then, for large x , we have

$$N(x) := \#\left\{ n \leq x : \begin{array}{l} n, n+2 \text{ aren't divisible by} \\ \text{any } p \leq x^{1/t} \end{array} \right\} \gg \frac{x}{(\log x)^2}.$$

Proof $n \leq x$ can be divisible by at most t primes $\leq x^{1/t}$.

Pf of cor Let $D = x^{1/2}$, $z = D^{1/s} = x^{1/2s}$.

($\leadsto t := 2s$).

We've seen in the pf of Cor 11.2.3 that if

$$a_d = \begin{cases} \frac{z^{\nu(d)}}{d}, & d \text{ odd,} \\ 0, & d \text{ even,} \end{cases}$$

then

$$\#\{n \leq x : d | (2n+1)(2n+3)\} = x \cdot a_d + O(z^{\nu(d)}).$$

\Rightarrow ~~...~~

$$N(x) \geq \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_{\beta,0}^-} \mu(d) \cdot \#\{n \leq x : d | \dots\}$$

$$= x \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_{\beta,0}^-} \mu(d) \cdot a_d + O\left(\sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_{\beta,0}^-} z^{\nu(d)}\right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}} z^{\nu(d)}$$

$$\leq D \log D$$

$$\leq x^{1/2} \log x$$

Also,

$$\sum_{d \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{\rho}, \rho}} \mu(d) \cdot a_d \asymp V(z) \quad \text{for large } s$$

|| and appropriate β .

$$\prod_{2 < p < z} \left(1 - \frac{z}{p}\right) \asymp x (\log z)^{-2} \rightarrow x (\log x)^{-2}$$

for fixed s .

$$\Rightarrow N(x) \gg \frac{x}{(\log x)^2} + O(x^{1/2} \log x) \gg \frac{x}{(\log x)^2} .$$

□

\Rightarrow For large s ,
 $\# \{ \dots \} \rightarrow \frac{x}{(\log x)^2} \rightarrow \frac{x}{(\log x)^2}$

Brun Using more advanced sieves, then proved that

~~very suff. large~~

~~very suff. large even A to the ∞~~

there are ∞ many primes p such that $p+2$ is prime or the product of two primes.

Brun Using a very different method (more like Selberg sieves), Zhang showed that there are ∞ many pairs of primes of bounded distance. ($\leq B$)

Better result with simpler proof: Maynard, small gaps between primes

Idea: Find a ~~sequence~~ sequence $v_1, v_2, \dots \geq 0$ such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^B \sum_{\substack{\frac{x}{2} < n \leq x \\ n+i \text{ prime}}} v_n > \sum_{\frac{x}{2} < n \leq x} v_n \quad \text{for all suff. large } x. \quad (\dagger)$$

Then, for some $\frac{x}{2} < n \leq x$, there must be $0 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq B$ with $n+i_1, n+i_2$ both prime. To ensure (I), one should choose $(v_n)_n$

so that v_n tends to be larger ~~when n is prime~~ ^{the more} of the numbers $n+i$ ($0 \leq i \leq B$) are prime, but so that we can still bound $\sum_{\substack{n: \\ n+i \text{ prime}}} v_n$ from below effectively.

(Essentially, they take $v_n = \left(\sum_{\substack{d_0 | n \\ d_1 | n+1 \\ \vdots \\ d_B | n+B}} \mu(d_0) \cdots \mu(d_B) f\left(\frac{\log d_0}{\log x}, \dots, \frac{\log d_B}{\log x}\right) \right)^2$

for a suitable ~~smooth~~ smooth compactly supported function $f: \mathbb{R}^{B+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.)

11.4. Large sieve

~~Let~~
 In the previous applications, we've been forbidding only $O(1)$ residue classes mod each prime p .
 What if we instead forbid a large number of residue classes? (say $\gg \sqrt{p}$ many.)

Reminder $c: \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ any function

\rightarrow Fourier transform $\hat{c}: \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$\hat{c}(t) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}} c(x) e^{2\pi i x t / q}$$

$$q \cdot \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} c(x \bmod q) f(x) = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{c}(t \bmod q) \hat{f}\left(\frac{t}{q}\right)$$

$$\sum_t |\hat{c}(t)|^2 = q \cdot \sum_x |c(x)|^2$$

Lemma 11.4.1 $\sum_t \hat{c}(t) \overline{\hat{c}(t)} = q \sum_x c(x) \overline{c(x)}$, so in part.

Pf HW. \square

Lemma 11.4.2 Let p be a prime and $c: \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a function which vanishes on $\gg \sqrt{p}$ ^(exactly) ω of the residue classes mod p .

Then,
$$\sum_{t \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times} |\hat{c}(t)|^2 \geq \frac{\omega}{p-\omega} \cdot |\hat{c}(0)|^2.$$

Pf
$$\sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}} |\hat{c}(t)|^2 = p \cdot \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}} |c(x)|^2$$

$$|\hat{c}(0)|^2 = \left| \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}} c(x) \right|^2$$

~~Let $d(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & c(x) \neq 0 \\ 0, & c(x) = 0 \end{cases}$~~
 ~~$\Rightarrow c(x) = \sum_y d(y) c(y)$~~

~~...~~