

## 2.14. Dimension and transcendence degree

Def Let  $L|K$  be a field extension. Elements  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in L$  algebraically dependent over  $K$  if there is a polynomial  $0 \neq f \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  such that  $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$ .

( $\Leftrightarrow$ )  $a_1$  algebraic if  $n=1$ )

Ex  $X_1, \dots, X_n \in K(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  are algebraically independent over  $K$ .

Ex  $X, Y \in K(V(X^2 - Y^3))$  are algebraically dependent over  $K$ :  $X^2 - Y^3 = 0$  in  $K(V(X^2 - Y^3))$ .

Prbls  $\pi, e \in \mathbb{C}$  are transcendental over  $\mathbb{Q}$

It is unknown whether  $\pi, e$  are algebraically independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Thm 2.5  $\Rightarrow$   $a_1, \dots, a_n \in L$  are algebraically dependent if and only if some  $a_i$  is algebraic over  $K(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1})$ .

Analogy Let  $V$  be a  $K$ -vector space. Then,

$v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$  are linearly dependent if and only if some  $v_i$  is contained in the span of  $v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}$ .

Pf " $\Leftarrow$ "  $a_i$  algebraic over  $K(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1})$ .

$\Rightarrow f(a_i) = 0$  for some  $0 \neq f \in K(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1})[T]$

clear out denominators to make

$0 \neq f \in K[a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}][T]$ .

" $\Rightarrow$ " Let  $0 \neq f \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ ,  $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$ .

If  $g(X_n) = f(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, X_n) \in K(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})[T]$  is not the zero

polynomial, then  $g(a_n) = 0$  is a

pol. eq. satisfied by  $a_n$  with

coeff. in  $K(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ , so  $a_n$  is

algebraic over  $K(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ .

$\leadsto$  Assume  $g(X_n)$  is the zero polynomial.

Let  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_i f_i(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \cdot X_n^i$

with  $f_j(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$  not the zero

polynomial for some  $i$

But because  $g(X_n)$  is the zero

polynomial, we have

$$f_j(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) = 0.$$

$\Rightarrow a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}$  are algebraically dependent.

Proceed by induction over  $n$ .

$\square$

Def Elements  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in L$  form a transcendence basis of  $L$  over  $K$  if they are algebraically independent and  $L$  is an algebraic ext. of  $K(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ .

Prp A transcendence basis is a maximal list of algebraically independent elements.

Ex  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  form a transcendence basis of  $K(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ .

Lemma 2.58 ("Exchange lemma")

If  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in L$  are alg. independent and  $L$  is algebraic over  $K(b_1, \dots, b_m)$  (with  $b_1, \dots, b_m$ ), then there are indices

$1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq m$  ( $r \geq 0$ ) such that

$a_1, \dots, a_n, b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_r}$  form a transcendence basis of  $L$  over  $K$ .

Prf Choose any maximal alg. independent sublist of  $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_m$  among those containing  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ . The remaining  $b_j$  have to be algebraic over

$K$  (the list you got).

$\Rightarrow K(\text{the list}) = L$ . □

Cor 2.59 Any finitely generated field extension has a transcendence basis.

Thm 2.60 If  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  is a transcendence basis of  $L$  over  $K$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_m \in L$  are algebraically independent, then  $n \geq m$ .

Cor 2.61 Any two transcendence bases of  $L$  over  $K$  have the same size, called the transcendence degree  $\text{trdeg}(L|K)$  of  $L$  over  $K$ .

Prp  $\text{trdeg}(L|K) = 0 \iff L$  is an algebraic extension of  $K$

Pf of Thm 2.60

Use induction over  $n$ .

$n=0$ :  $\Rightarrow L$  is alg. over  $K \Rightarrow$  there are no algebraically independent elements.  $\Rightarrow m=0$

$n-1 \rightarrow n$ : Let (w.l.o.g.)  $b_1, a_1, \dots, a_r$  be a transcendence basis from the exchange lemma.

$\Rightarrow r \neq n$  because  $b_1, a_1, \dots, a_n$  aren't alg. indep. because  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  is a transcendence basis

$a_1, \dots, a_r \in L$  form a transcendence basis of  $L$  over  $K(b_1)$ .

$b_2, \dots, b_m \in L$  are alg. independent over  $K(b_1)$ .

Apply the induction hypothesis to the extension  $L$  of  $K(b_1)$ .

$$\Rightarrow n-1 \geq r \geq m-1 \Rightarrow n \geq m.$$

□

Ex  $X$  is a transcendence basis of  $K(V(x^2 - y^3))$

↖ ↗

—<sup>u</sup>—

• No nonzero pol.  $f(x) \in K(x)$  becomes zero in  $K(V(x^2 - y^3))$  because it doesn't become zero in

$\Gamma(V(x^2 - y^3)) = K(x, y)/(x^2 - y^3)$  because

$$f(x) \notin (x^2 - y^3).$$

$\Rightarrow X$  is alg. independent

•  $X, Y$  are algebraically dependent

•  $X, Y$  generate the field extension  $K(V(x^2 - y^3))$

$$\Rightarrow \text{trdeg}(K(V(x^2 - y^3))) = 1.$$

Thm 2.61 If  $L$  is a finitely generated field extension of  $K$  and  $M$  is a finitely generated field extension of  $L$ , then

$$\begin{array}{c} M \\ | \\ L \\ | \\ K \end{array} \quad \text{trdeg}(M|K) = \text{trdeg}(M|L) + \text{trdeg}(L|K)$$

Prf If  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  is a transcendence basis of  $M|L$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_m$  is a transcendence basis of  $L|K$ , then  $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_m$  is a transcendence basis of  $M|K$ . □

Def The dimension  $\dim(V)$  of an irreducible algebraic set  $V \subseteq K^n$  is the transcendence degree of  $K(V)$  over  $K$ .

Ex  $\dim(\underbrace{\mathbb{A}_K^n}_{K^n}) = n$

Cor  $\mathbb{A}_K^n = K^n$  is not isomorphic (or even birational) to  $\mathbb{A}_K^m = K^m$  for any  $n \neq m$ .

## Analogy from topology

There is no homeomorphism between  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{R}^m$  for  $n \neq m$ . In fact, there is no homeomorphism between an open subset  $\emptyset \neq U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and an open subset  $\emptyset \neq V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ !

Thm 2.62 The dimension of an irreducible algebraic subset  $V = V(f) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$  defined by a single (irreducible) polynomial

$0 \neq f \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is  $\dim(V) = n - 1$ .

Pf w.l.o.g. the variable  $x_n$  occurs in  $f$ .

$$\Rightarrow x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \in \Gamma(V) = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{(f)} \\ \text{in} \\ K(V)$$

form a transcendence basis of  $K(V)$  over  $K$ .

(They are algebraically independent because  $(f)$  contains no polynomial in just the variables  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$ .)

But  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are not algebraically independent.) □

Praks Why only define  $\dim(V)$  when  $V$  is irreducible?

A) If  $V$  isn't irreducible,  $\Gamma(V)$  is not an integral domain, and there is no field of fractions  $K(V)$ !

B) Say  $V = \{(x,y) \in K^2 \mid x=0\} \cup \{(1,2)\}$ .



We define the dimension of a reducible alg. set  $V \subseteq K^n$  to be  $\dim(V) = \max(\dim(W_1), \dots, \dim(W_m))$ , where  $W_1, \dots, W_m$  are the irreducible components of  $V$ .

and  $\dim(\emptyset) = -\infty$ .



Thm 2.63 An alg. subset  $\emptyset \neq V \subseteq K^n$  has dimension 0 if and only if  $|V| < \infty$ .

Pf w.l.o.g.  $V$  is irreducible.

" $\Leftarrow$ " If  $|V| < \infty$ , then  $|V| = 1$ ,  $V = \{P\}$ .

$$\Rightarrow \Gamma(V) = K, \quad K(V) = K.$$

$$\uparrow$$

$$\text{trdeg} = 0$$

" $\Rightarrow$ "  $\dim(V) = 0 \Rightarrow K(V)$  is an alg. ext. of  $K$

$$\Rightarrow K(V) = K \Rightarrow \Gamma(V) = K$$

$\uparrow$   
 $K$  algebraically closed

$$K[x_1, \dots, x_n] / \mathcal{I}(V)$$

$$\Rightarrow |V| = 1.$$

□