

# THE SCHÖNHAGE-STRASSEN ALGORITHM FOR MULTIPLYING POLYNOMIALS

FABIAN GUNDLACH

**Lemma 1.** *For  $n \geq 1$ , if  $f$  and  $g$  are polynomials of degree  $< n$  with  $g \neq 0$ , and there are at most  $1 \leq m \leq n$  monomials in  $g(X)$ , then we can compute  $f \bmod g$  in time  $\mathcal{O}(nm)$  on an  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ -bit RAM.*

*Proof.* Use schoolbook division. Each time we eliminate the leading coefficient, we only need to modify  $m$  coefficients. We eliminate the leading coefficient at most  $n$  times.  $\square$

We let  $\phi_n$  be the  $n$ -th cyclotomic polynomial. Its degree is  $\varphi(n) = \#(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ . Note that for any prime  $r \geq 2$  and any  $k \geq 1$ , we have  $\varphi(r^k) = (1 - 1/r)r^k$  and the cyclotomic polynomial  $\phi_{r^k}(X) = \phi_r(X^{r^{k-1}}) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} X^{ir^{k-1}}$  has exactly  $r$  monomials.

We saw in class that Fourier transforms in  $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/r^k\mathbb{Z}} R$  allow us to quickly compute products of elements of  $R[X]/(X^{r^k} - 1)$ . Between Fourier transforms, the algorithm involved multiplying two elements of  $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/r^k\mathbb{Z}} R$ , i.e.  $r^k$  multiplications in  $R$ . It turns out that if we want to multiply elements of the quotient  $R[X]/\phi_{r^d}(X)$  of  $R[X]/(X^{r^k} - 1)$ , only the entries where  $i$  is relatively prime to  $r^k$  matter. (This makes sense because  $\phi_{r^d}(X) = \prod_{i \in (\mathbb{Z}/r^k\mathbb{Z})^\times} (X - \zeta_{r^k}^i)$ , so the ring  $\mathbb{C}[X]/\phi_{r^d}(X)$  captures only the values of a polynomial at  $\zeta_{r^k}^i$  with  $i \in (\mathbb{Z}/r^k\mathbb{Z})^\times$ .) We then only need to perform  $\varphi(r^k)$  multiplications in  $R$  and this optimization will be crucial in the proof of Lemma 3 below.

**Lemma 2.** *Assume that  $R$  contains a root  $\zeta_{r^k}$  of  $\phi_{r^k}$ . For  $k \geq 1$ , given any (reduced) elements  $f$  and  $g$  of  $R[X]/\phi_{r^k}(X)$ , we can compute  $r^k \cdot fg \in R[X]/\phi_{r^k}(X)$  in time  $\mathcal{O}_r(r^k \cdot k)$  on an  $\mathcal{O}(k)$ -bit RAM. The arithmetic operations in  $R$  used are:  $\mathcal{O}_r(r^k \cdot k)$  additions in  $R$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_r(r^k \cdot k)$  multiplications by powers of  $\zeta_{r^k}$ , exactly  $\varphi(r^k)$  further multiplications of two (arbitrary) elements of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $f(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{\varphi(r^k)-1} a_i X^i$  and  $g(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{\varphi(r^k)-1} b_i X^i$  and let  $a_i = b_i = 0$  for  $i = \varphi(r^k), \dots, r^k - 1$ . Let  $a = (a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/r^k\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $b = (b_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/r^k\mathbb{Z}}$ .

Use the Cooley-Tukey algorithm to compute the Fourier transforms  $\hat{a}$  and  $\hat{b}$  of  $a$  and  $b$ .

For  $j \in \mathbb{Z}/r^k\mathbb{Z}$ , compute  $\hat{c}_j = \begin{cases} \hat{a}_j \cdot \hat{b}_j, & j \in (\mathbb{Z}/r^k\mathbb{Z})^\times, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Then, use the Cooley-Tukey algorithm to compute the Fourier transform  $c$  of  $\hat{c}$ .

We leave it as an exercise to show that  $\sum_{i=0}^{r^k-1} c_i X^i \bmod \phi_{r^k}(X) = r^k \cdot f(X)g(X)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $r = 2$  or  $3$ . For  $k \geq 1$ , given any (reduced) elements  $f$  and  $g$  of  $R[X]/\phi_{r^k}(X)$ , we can compute  $r^w \cdot fg$  in time  $\mathcal{O}(r^k \cdot k \cdot \log(k+1))$  on an  $\mathcal{O}(k)$ -bit RAM, for some  $w = w_{r,k} = \mathcal{O}(k)$ .*

*Proof.* For small  $k$ , use schoolbook multiplication and reduce the result modulo  $\phi_{r^k}$ .

For large  $k$ , proceed recursively as follows: Assume we can multiply for  $k' < k$  in time  $T(k')$ .

Let

$$v = \begin{cases} k + 2 & \text{if } r = 2, \\ k + 1 & \text{if } r = 3, \end{cases}$$

and let  $m = \lfloor v/2 \rfloor$  and  $l = v - m = \lceil v/2 \rceil$ . If  $k'$  is sufficiently large, then  $k/2 \leq m \leq l < k$ .

We will consider the following rings:

$$S = R[Y]/\phi_{r^l}(Y),$$

$$U = S[Z]/\phi_{r^m}(Z) = R[Y, Z]/(\phi_{r^l}(Y), \phi_{r^m}(Z)).$$

Note that  $S$  contains a root  $[Y]$  of  $\phi_{r^l}$ . Since  $m \leq l$ , it also contains a root  $[Y^{r^{l-m}}]$  of  $\zeta_{r^m}$ , so we can use Lemma 2 to multiply elements  $F, G$  of  $U$  (or rather, compute some power of  $r$  times  $FG$ ). Any such multiplication involves  $\mathcal{O}(r^m \cdot m)$  additions/multiplications by powers of  $[Y^{r^{l-m}}]$  in  $S$ . Each of them takes time  $\mathcal{O}(\varphi(r^l)) \leq \mathcal{O}(r^l)$ , so the total is  $\mathcal{O}(r^{m+l} \cdot m) \leq \mathcal{O}(r^k \cdot k)$ . Moreover, we need to do exactly  $\varphi(r^m)$  multiplications of two elements of  $S$ . Since  $l < k$ , we can recursively apply the multiplication algorithm described in this proof. Each such multiplication in  $S$  takes time  $T(l)$ , so the total is  $\varphi(r^m) \cdot T(l)$ . All in all, we can multiply two elements of  $U$  in time  $\mathcal{O}(r^k \cdot k) + \varphi(r^m) \cdot T(l)$ .

Now, we describe how to reduce the multiplication in  $R[X]/\phi_{r^k}(X)$  to a single multiplication in  $U$ :

Note that  $\varphi(r^l)$  is divisible by 2 and that  $\varphi(r^m)\varphi(r^l) = (1 - 1/r)^2 r^{m+l} = (1 - 1/r)^2 r^s = 2\varphi(r^k)$ .

We can therefore (in time  $\mathcal{O}(r^k)$ ) write

$$f(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{\varphi(r^m)-1} p_i(X) \cdot X^{i \cdot \varphi(r^l)/2}$$

with polynomials  $p_i(X)$  of degree  $< \varphi(r^l)/2$  and write

$$g(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{\varphi(r^m)-1} q_i(X) \cdot X^{i \cdot \varphi(r^l)/2}$$

with polynomials  $q_i(X)$  of degree  $< \varphi(r^l)/2$ .

Consider the elements

$$F(Z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\varphi(r^m)-1} [p_i(Y)]Z^i$$

and

$$G(Z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\varphi(r^m)-1} [q_i(Y)]Z^i$$

of the ring  $U$ . As described above, compute  $r^w \cdot FG$  for some integer  $w \geq 0$ .

Write

$$r^w \cdot F(Z) \cdot G(Z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\varphi(r^m)-1} [e_i(Y)]Z^i$$

with polynomials  $e_i(Y)$  of degree  $< \varphi(r^l)$ .

In the variable  $Y$ , both sides have degree  $< \varphi(r^l)$ , so we in fact have an equality

$$r^w \cdot \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\varphi(r^m)-1} p_i(Y)Z^i \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\varphi(r^m)-1} q_i(Y)Z^i \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\varphi(r^m)-1} e_i(Y)Z^i$$

in the ring  $R[Z]/\phi_{r^m}(Z)$ , not just in  $U$ . Next, note that  $\phi_{r^k}(X) = \phi_{r^m}(X^{r^{k-m}}) = \phi_{r^m}(X^{\varphi(r^l)/2})$ . Hence, plugging in  $Y = X$  and  $Z = X^{\varphi(r^l)/2}$ , we obtain

$$r^w f(X)g(X) = r^w \cdot \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\varphi(r^m)-1} p_i(X)X^{i \cdot \varphi(r^l)/2} \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\varphi(r^m)-1} q_i(X)X^{i \cdot \varphi(r^l)/2} \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\varphi(r^m)-1} e_i(X)X^{i \cdot \varphi(r^l)/2}.$$

Since each polynomial  $e_i(X)$  has degree  $< \varphi(r^l)$ , this addition can be performed in time  $\mathcal{O}(\varphi(r^m)\varphi(r^l)) = \mathcal{O}(r^k)$ .

To summarize: All steps in the above algorithm take time  $\mathcal{O}(r^k)$ , except the ones in the application of Lemma 2, which take time  $\mathcal{O}(r^k \cdot k) + \varphi(r^m) \cdot T(l)$ .

The entire algorithm therefore has running time

$$T(k) \leq \mathcal{O}(r^k \cdot k) + \varphi(r^m) \cdot T(l).$$

We apply induction to show that  $T(k) \leq C \cdot r^k \cdot (k-3) \cdot \log_2(k-3)$  for sufficiently large  $k$  (and some constant  $C$ ). Note that  $l-3 \leq \frac{v+1}{2} - 3 \leq \frac{k+3}{2} - 3 = \frac{1}{2}(k-3)$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} T(k) &\leq \mathcal{O}(r^k \cdot k) + C \cdot \varphi(r^m) \cdot r^l \cdot (l-3) \cdot \log_2(l-3) \\ &\leq \mathcal{O}(r^k \cdot k) + C \cdot \varphi(r^m) \cdot r^l \cdot \frac{1}{2}(k-3) \cdot \log_2 \frac{1}{2}(k-3) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(r^k \cdot k) + C \cdot 2r^k \cdot \frac{1}{2}(k-3) \cdot \log_2 \frac{1}{2}(k-3) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(r^k \cdot k) + C \cdot r^k \cdot (k-3) \cdot (\log_2(k-3) - 1). \end{aligned}$$

For sufficiently large  $k$ , we have  $\mathcal{O}(r^k \cdot k) \leq \mathcal{O}(r^k \cdot (k-3))$ . As long as  $C$  is larger than the constant on the right-hand side, it follows that indeed

$$T(k) \leq C \cdot r^k \cdot (k-3) \cdot \log_2(k-3). \quad \square$$

It's not difficult to show by induction that the exponent  $w$  of  $r$  satisfies  $w = \mathcal{O}(k)$ .

**Corollary 1.** *For large  $n$ , we can compute the product of two polynomials  $f, g \in R[X]$  of degree  $< n$  in time  $\mathcal{O}(n \log n \log \log n)$ .*

*Proof.* Choose the smallest numbers  $k_2, k_3 \geq 0$  such that  $\varphi(2^{k_2}) > 2n$  and  $\varphi(3^{k_3}) > 2n$ . Then,  $2^{k_2} = \mathcal{O}(n)$  and  $3^{k_3} = \mathcal{O}(n)$ . As shown above, we can compute  $2^{w_2} \cdot f(X)g(X) \bmod \phi_{2^{k_2}}(X)$  and  $3^{w_3} \cdot f(X)g(X) \bmod \phi_{3^{k_3}}(X)$  in time  $\mathcal{O}(r^{k_r} \cdot k_r \cdot \log k_r) = \mathcal{O}(n \log n \log \log n)$ . Since they already reduced modulo the cyclotomic polynomials, we can in fact compute the polynomials  $2^{w_2} \cdot f(X)g(X)$  and  $3^{w_3} \cdot f(X)g(X)$ . Writing 1 as a linear combination of  $2^{w_2}$  and  $3^{w_3}$ , we can compute the product  $f(X)g(X)$ .  $\square$

See sections 3 and 4 of [Ber08] for an overview of the history behind this algorithm.

## REFERENCES

- [Ber08] Daniel J. Bernstein. "Fast multiplication and its applications". In: *Algorithmic number theory: lattices, number fields, curves and cryptography*. Vol. 44. Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2008, pp. 325–384.