

Def The discriminant of  $f(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_0 \in k[x]$

$$\text{disc}(f) = \frac{(-1)^{n(n-1)/2} \text{Res}(f, f')}{a_n}.$$

Ee  $\text{disc}(ax^2 + bx + c) = b^2 - 4ac$

Lemma 7.3.4

$$\text{disc}(f) = a_n^{2n-2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2$$

if  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  are the roots of  $f$  (with mult.)

Pf  $\deg(f) = n, \deg(f') = n-1 \quad f(x) = a_n \cdot \prod (x - \alpha_j)$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Res}(f, f') = a_n^{n-2} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n f'(\alpha_i)$$

Lemma  
7.3.3

$$= (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} a_n^{2n-2} \cdot \prod_i \prod_{j \neq i} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)$$

$$= a_n^{2n-2} \cdot \prod_{i < j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j).$$

□

## 7.4. Greatest common divisor

### 7.4. Bounds on polynomial factors

Ihm 7.4.1 (abänder, section 3.5.1, for a better bound)

Let  $f(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_0 \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ ,

$g(x) = b_m x^m + \dots + b_0 \in \mathbb{C}[X]$  be a pol.

with  $g \mid f$ . Then,

$$\left| \frac{b_i}{b_m} \right| \leq \binom{m}{i} \cdot \left( \sum_{j=0}^n \left| \frac{a_j}{a_n} \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{for } i = 0, \dots, m.$$

Pruef (There are better bounds; see for example Ihm 3.5.1 in Lohner.)

For 7.4.2 If  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  is divisible by  $g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$

$$\text{then } |b_i| \leq \binom{m}{i} \cdot \left( \sum_{j=0}^n |a_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{for } i = 0, \dots, m.$$

Pruef of For

$$\left| \frac{b_i}{b_m} \right| \leq \binom{m}{i} \cdot \left( \sum_{j=0}^n |a_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} \stackrel{\text{Einf. (max } a_j \text{)}}{\longrightarrow} g \mid f \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}[x] \quad \text{by Lemma}$$

$$g \mid f \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}[x] \Rightarrow |b_m| |a_n| \Rightarrow |b_m| \leq |a_n|. \quad \square$$

The Ihm follows from:

Lemma 7.4.3 (Landau's inequality) Let  $r_1, \dots, r_n \in \mathbb{C}$  be the roots

of  $f(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_0 \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ . Then,

$$\prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n: \\ |r_i| \geq 1}} |r_i| \leq \left( \sum_j \left| \frac{a_j}{a_n} \right|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

### 7.5. gcd of integer polynomials

Thm 7.5.1 Let  $0 \neq f, g \in \mathbb{Z}(x)$  be polynomials of degree  $\leq n$  whose coefficients  $c$  satisfy  $|c| \leq B$ . We can compute  $\text{gcd}(f, g)_{\mathbb{Z}}$  in average time  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(n(n + \log B))$  on a randomized  $\mathcal{O}(\log(n + \log B))$ -bit RAM.

Here,  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(X)$  means  $\mathcal{O}(X(\log X)^k)$  for some fixed  $k \geq 0$ .

Remark There's a subtle difference between gcd in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  and in  $\mathbb{Z}$ : The gcd in  $\mathbb{Q}(x)$  is only defined up to mult. by elements of  $\mathbb{Q}^\times$  but the gcd in  $\mathbb{Z}(x)$  is defined up to mult. by el. of  $\mathbb{Z}^\times$ : For example,  $\text{gcd}_{\mathbb{Z}(x)}(2x, 6x^3) = 2x$ .

But the correct multiple is easy to determine, so it suffices to find  $\text{gcd}(f, g)$  up to mult. by a scalar.

Remark Set  $\tilde{h} = \text{gcd}_{\mathbb{Q}(x)}(f, g) \in \mathbb{Z}(x)$  to be primitive (relatively prime coefficients). Then,  $\tilde{h} \mid f, g$  by Gauss's lemma, so in part.  $\text{lc}(\tilde{h}) \mid \text{lc}(f), \text{lc}(g)$ . Let  $t = \text{gcd}(\text{lc}(f), \text{lc}(g))$ . We'll explain how to compute the gcd  $h(x) = \frac{t}{\text{lc}(\tilde{h})} \cdot \tilde{h}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}(x)$  of  $f, g$  (over  $\mathbb{Q}(x)$ ) that has leading coefficient  $\text{lc}(h) = t$ .

Let  $k = O($  large enough so that

$$\cancel{\prod_{p_1 \dots p_n} p_i > 2^n \cdot \sqrt{nA} \cdot B.}$$

upper bd.  
or coeff. of  $\tilde{h}(x)$

Pf of Thm 7.5.1

Find

$K = O(n + \log B)$  large enough so that

$$\prod_{\substack{p \leq K \\ p \neq t}} p > 2^n \cdot \sqrt{n+1} \cdot B. \quad (\text{Note that } \prod_{p \neq t} p \leq K \leq B.)$$

upper bd.  
or coeff. of  $\tilde{h}(x)$

Find  $L = O(\log(nB))$  large enough so that

$$\prod_{\substack{K < p \leq L \\ p \neq t}} p > \underbrace{(2n)! \cdot B^{2n}}_{\text{upper bd.}}.$$

for  $|S_d(f, g)|$

Find  $M = O(n \log(nB))$  large enough so that

$$\#\{K < p \leq M, p \neq t\} > 2 \cdot \#\{K < p \leq L, p \neq t\}.$$

~~With  $A = \#\{p \leq k, p \neq t\} = O(\frac{n \log B}{\log(n \log B)})$ . Pick different primes  $p_1, \dots, p_A \leq M$  uniformly at random. Compute  $d := \deg(\gcd(f \bmod p_i, g \bmod p_i))$ .~~

~~where no. l.o.s.  $l_c(h) = \ell \bmod p_i$ .~~  
let  $A = \#\{p \leq k, p \neq t\} = O(\frac{n \log B}{\log(n \log B)}).$

Pick a random prime  $p_0 \leq M$ ,  $p_0 \neq t$  and

~~compute  $d' := \deg(\gcd(f \bmod p_0, g \bmod p_0))$ .~~

(With prob.  $\geq \frac{1}{2}$ , we have  $d' = d$ . It always  $d' \geq d$ .)

compute  $\gcd(f \bmod p_i, g \bmod p_i)$  for random  $p \leq M$ ,  $p \neq t$   
until you found  $p_1, \dots, p_A \leq M$  such that

$$\deg(\gcd(f \bmod p_i, g \bmod p_i)) = d^i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, A.$$

The expected no. of primes to try is  $O(A)$ .

$$\text{Let } h_i = \gcd(\dots) \text{ where w.l.o.g. } \text{lc}(h_i) \equiv t \pmod{p_i}.$$

Note that  ~~$p_1 \cdots p_A$~~   $\geq \prod_{\substack{p \leq k \\ p \neq t}} p \geq 2^n \cdot \sqrt{n+1} \cdot B$ , so there

is at most one pol.  $\tilde{h}'$  with coeff.  $\leq 2^n \cdot \sqrt{n+1} \cdot B$  s.t.

$$\tilde{h}' \equiv h_i \pmod{p_i} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, A.$$

If ~~there is one and it divides f and g~~, then it must be  
the gcd of f and g ~~in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$~~ .

Otherwise (with prob.  $\leq \frac{1}{2}$ ), start over!

□

Remark There's another <sup>"efficient"</sup> alg. that avoids reduction modulo primes  
The subresultant algorithm (cf. section 3.3 in Loheng).

It's basically the Euclidean alg., but avoids exponential  
growth of coefficients by dividing by an appropriate (easy  
to compute) integer (dividing all coeffs) at each step!

Remark You can ~~use a~~ similar alg. as in Thm 7.5.1 for example to compute  
the gcd of polynomials  $f, g \in \mathbb{F}_q[T][X]$ . The running time is  
better:  $\mathcal{O}(\underbrace{\text{size of input}}_{\text{size of } f, g})$ , where all coeff. of f, g are pol. in T of deg.  $\leq D$ .

The reason is again that the triangle ineq. in  $\mathbb{F}_q(T)$  is stronger than  
in  $\mathbb{R}$ . (Instead of Cor. 7.4.2, you have the obvious fact that the degree of any  
coeff. of  $\gcd(f, g)$  is also at most D)