

2. Quotients

Let K be a field and assume $\oplus, \times, \cdot^{-1}$ in K and ~~the image of an integer under the hom.~~ $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow K$ can be computed in $O(1)$.

~~2.1. ~~compute~~ mult. inverse~~
~~of $K[[x]]$ = { $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n \mid a_0 \neq 0$ }~~
~~ring of power series~~

~~Since $K[[x]]^X = \{f = \sum a_n x^n \mid a_0 \neq 0\}$.~~

~~assume that we can multiply two pol. $f, g \in K[X]$ of degree $< n$ in time $O(\mu(n))$, where $\mu(n) \geq n$, $\mu(n+m) \leq \mu(n) + \mu(m)$. (we've shown that $\mu(n) = n \log n \log \log n$ works for large n .)~~

Thm 2.1 ~~let $f \in K[[x]]$ with $f = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} \pmod{x^n}$.~~

$(\Rightarrow a_0 \neq 0)$

~~We can compute $f^{-1} \pmod{x^n}$ ($= b_0 + \dots + b_{n-1} x^{n-1}$) in time $O(\mu(n))$ on an $O(\log n)$ -bit RAM.~~

Alg W.l.o.g. $n = 2^k$, $k \geq 1$.

~~Recursively compute $(f^{-1} \pmod{x^{2^{k-1}}})$.~~

~~Return $h := (2 - fg)g \pmod{x^{2^k}}$.~~

By induction. $g \equiv f \pmod{x^{2^{k-1}}}$.

$$\Rightarrow fg \equiv 1 \pmod{x^{2^{k-1}}} \Rightarrow fg$$

$$\Rightarrow fh \equiv (2 - fg) \cdot fg \pmod{x^{2^{k-1}}}$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 - fh \equiv (1 - fg)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{x^{2^k}}$$

$$\text{Total time: } \underbrace{\mu(2^k)}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}\mu(2^k)} + \underbrace{\mu(2^{k-1})}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}\mu(2^k)} + \dots + \underbrace{\mu(1)}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}\mu(2^k)} \leq 2\mu(2^k) \ll \mu(n). \quad \square$$

Burk This is Newton's approximation alg. for the function

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{t} - f.$$

$$\text{and } f - \frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi'(t)} = t - \frac{\frac{1}{t} - f}{-\frac{1}{t^2}} = t + (t - f t^2) = (2 - f t^2) t^2$$



~~Lemma~~

Algorithm

Burk The same algorithm can be used to invert an element

$$\text{of } (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^\times \quad (x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \cdot p^n, a_0, a_1, \dots \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}, a_0 \neq 0)$$

(Just replace \mathbb{Z} by p everywhere!)

Burk ~~can also be used~~

Similarly, Newton's method can be used to find the ~~best~~ inverse of a real number $k \in \mathbb{R}$ given its leading (n) digits in time $O(\sqrt{n})$.

up to a relative error of $O(2^{-n})$

2.2. Quotient and remainder

Thm 2.2.1 Given pol. $f, g \in K[x]$ of degree $< n$ (with $g \neq 0$), we can compute the quotient $q \in K(x)$ and remainder $r \in K(x)$ (" $\frac{f}{g}$ ") $(\text{mod } g)$

(such that $f = gq + r$, $\deg(r) < \deg(g)$) in time $\mathcal{O}(\mu(n))$ on an $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ -bit RAM.

Pf Let $f(x) = x^v \cdot \tilde{f}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$, $g(x) = x^v \cdot \tilde{g}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$, $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g} \in K[y]$, $\tilde{f}(0), \tilde{g}(0) \neq 0$.

(If $f(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_0$, then $\tilde{f}(y) = a_n + a_{n-1}y + \dots + a_0 y^n$.)

~~Assume~~ w.l.o.g. $v \geq v$. (Otherwise, $q=0, r=f$.)

Set ~~h~~ $h \in K(y)$ s.t. $\tilde{g}(y)h(y) \equiv 1 \pmod{y^{v-v+1}}$.

~~Let $i(y) = \tilde{f}(y) \cdot h(y) \pmod{y^{v-v+1}}$~~
~~Then, $\tilde{g}(y)i(y) \equiv \tilde{f}(y) \pmod{y^{v-v+1}}$~~
 ~~$g(x) = x^{v-v} \cdot i\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \in K(x)$~~

and $g(x)g(x) = x^v \tilde{g}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)i\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$

Let $\tilde{q}(y) = (\tilde{f}(y) \cdot \tilde{g}(y)^{-1} \pmod{y^{v-v+1}})$. (This can be computed in $\mathcal{O}(\mu(n))$ because products and inverses can.)

Then, $q(x) = x^{v-v} \cdot \tilde{q}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$ is the quotient pol:

- It's a polynomial because $\deg(\tilde{q}) \leq v-v$.

- Since $y^v(f\left(\frac{1}{y}\right) - g\left(\frac{1}{y}\right)q\left(\frac{1}{y}\right)) = f(y) - g(y)\tilde{q}(y)$ is divisible by y^{v-v+1} in $K[y]$, we have $\deg(f - gq) \leq v-1$.

$r := f - gq$ can also be computed in $\mathcal{O}(\mu(n))$. □

A similar argument over \mathbb{R} shows:

Thm 2.2.2 ~~we can~~ For (binary) integers x, y with $< n$ bits,
 $\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor$ and $x \bmod y$ in $\mathcal{O}(n)$...

~~Q.E.D.~~ It suffices to compute $\frac{x}{y} \in \mathbb{R}$ to ~~absolute precision~~
absolute precision 1, so relative precision $\sim 2^{-n}$.

This leaves
just ≤ 3 integers q to try.

□

3. greatest common divisor

recall the Euclidean algorithm:

$$a_0 = f$$

$$a_1 = g$$

$$a_{i+2} = a_i \text{ mod } a_{i+1} = a_i - \left\lfloor \frac{a_i}{a_{i+1}} \right\rfloor \cdot a_{i+1} \quad \text{until } a_{n+1} = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \gcd(f, g) = a_n.$$

$$\text{Let } q_i = \left\lfloor \frac{a_i}{a_{i+1}} \right\rfloor.$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a_{i+1} \\ a_{i+2} \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -q_i \end{pmatrix}}_{M_i} \begin{pmatrix} a_i \\ a_{i+1} \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a_i \\ a_{i+1} \end{pmatrix} = M_{i-1} \cdots M_0 \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{until } \begin{pmatrix} \gcd(f, g) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = M_{n-1} \cdots M_0 \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof $\deg(q_i) = \deg(a_i) - \deg(a_{i+1})$

$\sum \deg(q_i) = \deg(f) - \deg(\gcd(f, g)) \leq \deg(f)$,

so at least the total number of coefficients in the pol. q_i is linear (unlike the total number of coeff. in the pol. a_i).

Rough idea: To compute a matrix $M \in GL_2(K[x])$ with $\det(M) = \pm 1$, and such

Proof If $M \in GL_2(K[x])$ is a matrix with $\det(M) = \pm 1$ and such that $M \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\gcd(f, g) = h$.

Q.E.D. Let $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$. $\Rightarrow h = af + bg, \Rightarrow \gcd(f, g) \mid h$.

On the other hand, $dh = adf + bdg = (\det(M) + bc)f + bdg = \pm f + b(cf + dg) = \pm f$,

so $h \mid f$.

similarly, $h \mid g$.

□

~~idea~~ Let $\deg(f), \deg(g) < n$.
 So compute a matrix $M \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}(\mathbb{C}(x))$ with $\det(M) = \pm 1$
 and such that $M(f) = \begin{pmatrix} h \\ r \end{pmatrix}$ for some r with
 ~~$\deg(r) < n-k$~~ , we only need to
 know the top $2k$ coefficients of f and g
 (at most)

Idea ~~idea~~ Recursively find ~~approximate~~ approximations to M :
 matrices M' s.t. $\det(M') = \pm 1$ and
 $M'(f) = \begin{pmatrix} t \\ r \end{pmatrix}$ for some pol. t, r with ~~deg~~ smaller
 and smaller $\deg(t)$ (starting with $M' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, where $r=0$,
 and finishing with $M' = M$, where $r=0$.)

Lemma 3.1 Let $f, g \in K(x)$, $\deg(f), \deg(g) \leq n$ and let $k \geq 1$ with $s := n - 2k \geq 0$. Let $M \in GL_2(K(x))$ s.t. ~~$M = \begin{pmatrix} \deg \leq k & \deg \leq s \\ \deg \leq k & \deg \leq s \end{pmatrix}$~~

and $M \begin{pmatrix} \lfloor f/x^s \rfloor \\ \lfloor g/x^s \rfloor \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} * \\ * \text{ of deg } \leq_{(n-s-k)} k \end{pmatrix}$.

Then,

$$M \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} * \\ * \text{ of deg. } < n-k \end{pmatrix}.$$

(Morals: To find M s.t. the lower entry has degree $< n-k$, we only need the top $2k$ coefficients of f, g .)

Bl $M \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} = M \begin{pmatrix} x^s \cdot \lfloor f/x^s \rfloor + (f \text{-mod } x^s) \\ \dots \end{pmatrix}$

$$= \cancel{x^s} \cdot \underbrace{M \begin{pmatrix} \lfloor f/x^s \rfloor \\ \lfloor g/x^s \rfloor \end{pmatrix}}_{\begin{pmatrix} * \\ \deg < n-s-k \end{pmatrix}} + M \begin{pmatrix} f \text{-mod } x^s \\ g \text{-mod } x^s \end{pmatrix}_{\begin{pmatrix} \deg \leq k & \deg < s \\ \deg < k+s = n-k \end{pmatrix}}$$

□