Math 286X: Arithmetic Statistics Spring 2020 Solutions to problem set #1

Problem 1. Fix a polynomial $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ of degree 1 or 2. Show that

$$\mathbb{P}(f(x) \text{ squarefree} \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}) = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \mathbb{P}(f(x) \neq 0 \mod p^2 \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

(Also think about what goes wrong in the proof for large degrees.)

Solution. If the polynomial f(X) is not squarefree over \mathbb{Q} , both sides are clearly zero. Assume that f(X) is squarefree, which implies that its discriminant is nonzero.

For any $M \ge 2$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(f(x) \neq 0 \mod p^2 \quad \forall p \leq M \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}) = \prod_{p \leq M} \mathbb{P}(f(x) \neq 0 \mod p^2 \mid x \in \mathbb{Z})$$

by the Chinese remainder theorem. The right-hand side is decreasing as $M \to \infty$, so it must converge. (In fact, it will converge to a positive number if $\mathbb{P}(f(x) \neq 0 \mod p^2 \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$ for all p.)

It remains to show that the left-hand side converges to $\mathbb{P}(f(x) \text{ squarefree})$. If f(x) is not squarefree, but $f(x) \neq 0 \mod p^2$ for $p \leq M$, then $f(x) \equiv 0 \mod p^2$ for some p > M. It therefore suffices to show that the probability (\mathbb{P}_{\sup}) that $f(x) \equiv 0 \mod p^2$ for some p > M converges to zero as $M \to \infty$. Note that the fact that f(X) has degree 1 or 2 implies that $f(x) \ll_f T^2$ when $|x| \leq T$ (for large T). (The bound might depend on the coefficients of f, especially the leading coefficient.) Any prime p with $f(x) \equiv 0 \mod p^2$ must therefore satisfy $p \ll_f T$. Furthermore, if p doesn't divide the leading coefficient of f, then f(x) can have at most 2 roots modulo p. If p moreover doesn't divide the discriminant of f, then Hensel's lemma shows that these roots lift to unique roots modulo p^2 . We therefore have

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}_{\sup}(f(x) &\equiv 0 \mod p^2 \text{ for some } p > M \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}) \\ &= \limsup_{T \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(f(x) \equiv 0 \mod p^2 \text{ for some } p > M \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}, \ |x| \leq T) \\ &= \limsup_{T \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(f(x) \equiv 0 \mod p^2 \text{ for some } T \gg_n p > M \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}, \ |x| \leq T) \\ &\ll \limsup_{T \to \infty} \sum_{M$$

which indeed converges to zero as $M \to \infty$. The last inequality used the fact that the number of primes $p \ll_n T$ is o(T).

If the degree of f(X) was 3, we would need to consider $p \ll_n T^{3/2}$. The number of such primes is far larger than T, so the above approach would fail. (Our error bound would not converge to any number less than 1 as $T \to \infty$.)

Problem 2. For each prime number p, fix a residue class $c_p \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Show that

$$\mathbb{P}(x \neq c_p \mod p \quad \forall p \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}) = 0.$$

Solution. For any $M \ge 2$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{\sup}(x \neq c_p \mod p \quad \forall p \mid x \in \mathbb{Z})$$

$$\leq \mathbb{P}(x \neq c_p \mod p \quad \forall p \leq M \mid x \in \mathbb{Z})$$

$$= \prod_{p \leq M} \mathbb{P}(x \neq c_p \mod p \mid x \in \mathbb{Z})$$

$$= \prod_{p \leq M} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right).$$

This converges to zero as $M \to \infty$: We can rewrite it as

$$\prod_{p \leq M} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) = \prod_{p \leq M} \frac{1}{1 + p^{-1} + p^{-2} + \dots}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sum_{n \geq 1 \text{ only divisible by primes } p \leq M} n^{-1}}$$

which converges to zero because $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} = \infty$. (We're basically computing $\frac{1}{\zeta(1)} = 0$.)

Problem 3. Fix an odd prime *l*. Order the quadratic number fields *K* by $|\operatorname{disc}(K)|$. Show that

$$\mathbb{P}(K \text{ unramified at } l \mid K \text{ quadratic number field}) = \frac{l}{l+1}$$

Solution. If $t \neq 1$ is a squarefree integer, then $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{t})$ is unramified at l if and only if $t \not\equiv 0 \mod l$. The probability that t is not divisible by l is $1 - l^{-1}$. The probability that t is not divisible by l^2 is $1 - l^{-2}$. Counting quadratic number fields that are unramified at l just like we did in class (but replacing the factor $1 - l^{-2}$ by $1 - l^{-1}$) proves that

 $\mathbb{P}(K \text{ unramified at } l \mid K \text{ quadratic number field}) = \frac{1 - l^{-1}}{1 - l^{-2}} = \frac{l}{l+1}. \quad \Box$

Problem 4. Let $n \ge 2$. Show that the number of squarefree monic polynomials $f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ of degree n is $q^n - q^{n-1}$. (Hint: Every monic polynomial a(X) can be written uniquely as $a(X) = f(X)g(X)^2$, where f(X) is squarefree and both f(X) and g(X) are monic.)

Solution. Let a_n be the number of squarefree monic polynomials of degree n. Every monic polynomial a(X) can be written uniquely as $a(X) = f(X)g(X)^2$, where f(X) is squarefree and both f(X) and g(X) are monic. Write $n = \deg(a)$, $s = \deg(f)$ and $t = \deg(g)$, so that n = s + 2t. Since the total number of monic polynomials of degree n is q^n , we conclude that $q^n = \sum_{s,t: s+2t=n} a_s q^t$. We have $a_1 = q$ and the claim $a_n = q^n - q^{n-1}$ for $n \ge 2$ follows by induction.

Problem 5. Show that there are sets $S_p \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p$ (for prime p) such that

$$\mathbb{P}((x \bmod p) \in S_p \quad \forall p \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}) = 0,$$

$$\prod_{p} \mathbb{P}(x \in S_p \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_p) > 0$$

Solution. Note that the proof of Problem 2 shows that we cannot pick $S_p \subsetneq \mathbb{F}_p$ for all primes p. The idea is now to imitate the nightmare scenario presented in class, but use only a sparse subset of primes.

Fix an infinite set A of prime numbers. For any $p \in A$, let $S_p \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p$ be the set of residue classes of the form $a \mod p$, where $\lfloor \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{p} \rfloor \leq a \leq p - \lfloor \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{p} \rfloor$. For any $p \notin A$, let $S_p = \mathbb{F}_p$. For any integer $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any prime $p > 16x^2$ in A, we have $(x \mod p) \notin S_p$. Therefore, there is no $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(x \mod p) \in S_p$ for all primes p. On the other hand,

$$\prod_{p} \mathbb{P}(x \in S_p \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_p) = \prod_{p \in A} \frac{\#S_p}{\#\mathbb{F}_p} = \prod_{p \in A} \frac{p - 2\lfloor \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{p}\rfloor}{p} \ge \prod_{p \in A} \left(1 - p^{-1/2}\right)$$

Since $1-p^{-1/2}$ converges to 1 as $p \to \infty$, one can choose the set A sufficiently sparse to make the product converge to a positive number (arbitrarily close to 1).

Problem 6. Order pairs $(x, y) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ by $\max(x, y)$. What is

$$\mathbb{P}(\gcd(x,y) = 1 \mid (x,y) \in \mathbb{N}^2)?$$

Solution. Let $M \ge 2$. Then,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(\gcd(x,y) \not\equiv 0 \mod p \quad \forall p \leqslant M \mid (x,y) \in \mathbb{N}^2) \\ &= \prod_{p \leqslant M} (1 - \mathbb{P}(x \equiv y \equiv 0 \mod p \mid (x,y) \in \mathbb{N}^2)) \\ &= \prod_{p \leqslant M} (1 - p^{-2}), \end{split}$$

which converges to $\zeta(2)^{-1} = 6/\pi^2$ as $M \to \infty$.

To show that the left-hand side converges to $\mathbb{P}(\gcd(x, y) = 1 \mid (x, y) \in \mathbb{N}^2)$, we need to find an upper bound for the probability that $x \equiv y \equiv 0$ for some

but

prime p > M. But

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}_{\sup}(x \equiv y \equiv 0 \mod p \text{ for some } p > M \mid (x, y) \in \mathbb{N}^2) \\ &\ll \limsup_{T \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(x \equiv y \equiv 0 \mod p \text{ for some } p > M \mid (x, y) \in \mathbb{N}, \; x, y \leqslant T) \\ &= \limsup_{T \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(x \equiv y \equiv 0 \mod p \text{ for some } p > M \mid (x, y) \in \mathbb{N}^2, \; x, y \leqslant T) \\ &\leqslant \limsup_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{p > M} \mathbb{P}(x \equiv y \equiv 0 \mod p \mid (x, y) \in \mathbb{N}^2, \; x, y \leqslant T) \\ &\leqslant \limsup_{T \to \infty} \sum_{p > M} \frac{1}{p^2} \\ &\ll \frac{1}{M}, \end{split}$$

which indeed converges to zero as $M \to \infty$.

Problem 7 (If you know about Dirichlet series and how to make use of their complex analysis). Use Dirichlet series to prove that

$$\mathbb{P}(x \text{ squarefree} \mid x \in \mathbb{N}) = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)}.$$

Solution. Consider the Dirichlet series

$$D(s) = \sum_{n \ge 1 \text{ squarefree}} n^{-s}.$$

Because every natural number can be written uniquely as the product of a squarefree natural number and a square, we have $\zeta(s) = D(s)\zeta(2s)$, so $D(s) = \zeta(s)/\zeta(2s)$. The right-most pole of $\zeta(s)$ is a simple pole at s = 1with residue 1. The right-most zero of $\zeta(2s)$ certainly has real part less than 1/2. The right-most pole of D(s) is therefore a simple pole at s = 1 with residue $1/\zeta(2)$. By (for example) the Wiener–Ikehara theorem, this implies that for $T \to \infty$,

$$\sum_{1 \le n \le T \text{ squarefree}} 1 \sim \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \cdot T.$$

Problem 8. For any $t \in \mathbb{F}_q$, the discriminant of the polynomial $f_t(X) = X^3 - tX^2 + (t-3)X + 1$ is a square: $\operatorname{disc}(f_t) = (9 - 3t + t^2)^2$. Assuming the discriminant is nonzero (the polynomial $f_t(X)$ is squarefree), this implies

that either $f_t(X)$ splits into linear factors, or its Galois group is the cyclic group $A_3 \subset S_3$ of degree three. Show that

$$\lim_{q \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(f_t(X) \text{ splits into linear factors } | t \in \mathbb{F}_q) = \mathbb{P}(g = \mathrm{id} | g \in A_3) = \frac{1}{3}.$$

Solution. For any $0, 1 \neq x \in \mathbb{F}_q$, there is exactly one value $r(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $f_{r(x)}(x) = 0$. For x = 0, 1, there is no such value r(x). The image of the map $r : \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0, 1\} \to \mathbb{F}_q$ is the set of $t \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $f_t(X)$ has a root in \mathbb{F}_q . There are at most two values $t \in \mathbb{F}_q$ for which $\operatorname{disc}(f) = (9 - 3t + t^2)^2 = 0$. They have at most two preimages each. Any other t in the image has exactly three preimages in $\mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0, 1\}$ (because each squarefree polynomial $f_t(X)$ either splits completely or is irreducible). Therefore, the number of $t \in \mathbb{F}_q$ that split into linear factors is $\frac{q^n}{3} + \mathcal{O}(1)$.

Problem 9. Here are two ways to estimate the number N(T) of pairs $(x, y) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ such that $x^2 y \leq T$:

$$a) \quad N(T) = \sum_{1 \leqslant x \leqslant \sqrt{T}} \#\{1 \leqslant y \leqslant \frac{T}{x^2}\} \approx \sum_{1 \leqslant x \leqslant \sqrt{T}} \frac{T}{x^2} \approx T \cdot \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^2} = \zeta(2) \cdot T.$$

$$b) \quad N(T) = \sum_{1 \leqslant y \leqslant T} \#\{1 \leqslant x \leqslant \sqrt{\frac{T}{y}}\} \approx \sum_{1 \leqslant y \leqslant T} \sqrt{\frac{T}{y}} \approx \sqrt{T} \cdot \sum_{1 \leqslant y \leqslant T} y^{-1/2} \approx 2 \cdot T$$

Which is better for large T? Can you give an error bound for the better one?

Solution. To make these estimates precise, use that $[a] = a + \mathcal{O}(1)$. We also approximate sums $\sum_{a \leq x \leq b} f(x)$ by integrals $\int_a^b f(x) dx$ for monotonic functions f:

$$\sum_{\mathbf{x} \leq x \leq b} f(x) = \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx + \mathcal{O}(f(a)) + \mathcal{O}(f(b)).$$

c

In a), we obtain an error bound of $\mathcal{O}(T^{1/2})$, essentially because there are \sqrt{T} summands and furthermore $\sum_{x>\sqrt{T}} x^{-2} = \mathcal{O}(T^{-1/2})$.

In b), we obtain an error bound of $\mathcal{O}(T)$, essentially because there are T summands and furthermore $\sum_{1 \leq y \leq T} y^{-1/2} = T^{1/2} + \mathcal{O}(1)$.

Using the *hyperbola method*, one can do even better: To reduce the number of summands, and therefore the number of places where we need to round

(and incur a penalty of $\mathcal{O}(1)$), note that $x^2 y \leq T$ implies that $x \leq \sqrt[3]{T}$ or $t \leq \sqrt[3]{T}$. We separately count the points with $x \leq \sqrt[3]{T}$ and the points with $y \leq \sqrt[3]{T}$, then subtract the points satisfying both $x \leq \sqrt[3]{T}$ and $y \leq \sqrt[3]{T}$ (which had been double-counted):

$$N(T) = \sum_{1 \le x \le \sqrt[3]{T}} \left\lfloor \frac{T}{x^2} \right\rfloor + \sum_{1 \le y \le \sqrt[3]{T}} \left\lfloor \sqrt{\frac{T}{y}} \right\rfloor - \lfloor \sqrt[3]{T} \rfloor \cdot \lfloor \sqrt[3]{T} \rfloor$$
$$= \sum_{1 \le x \le \sqrt[3]{T}} \frac{1}{x^2} \cdot T + 2 \cdot T^{2/3} - T^{2/3} + \mathcal{O}(T^{1/3})$$
$$= \zeta(2) \cdot T + \mathcal{O}(T^{1/3}).$$

In the last step, we used that

$$\sum_{1 \leqslant x \leqslant K} x^{-2} = \zeta(2) - \sum_{x > K} x^{-2} = \zeta(2) - K^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(K^{-2})$$

for large K.

Problem 10. Let a, b, c be a 2-cycle, an (n-1)-cycle, and an *n*-cycle in S_n (where $n \ge 2$). Show that they together generate the entire symmetric group S_n .

Solution. Let $H \subseteq S_n$ be a subgroup containing a 2-cycle, an (n-1)-cycle, and an *n*-cycle. Let *i* be the element of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ fixed by the (n-1)-cycle. By conjugating the 2-cycle with an appropriate power of the *n*-cycle, it follows that *H* contains a 2-cycle of the form $(i \ j)$. By conjugating with powers of the (n-1)-cycle, we can show that *H* in fact contains all 2-cycles of this form. By conjugating with powers of the *n*-cycle, it follows that *H* contains every 2-cycle. Therefore, $H = S_n$.

7