

(\*)

[This follows from:]

Lemma 9.2

Let  $\text{char}(k) = p \geq 0$ . We can compute ~~all~~ all pol.

$$a_n(x) = \prod_{t: \text{ } t \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} t(x)$$

$v_t(f) \equiv k \pmod{p}$

$\Leftrightarrow v_t(f) = k$  if  $\text{char}(k) = p = 0$  or  $p \mid n$

for  $1 \leq k \leq \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$   
 $N \in \mathbb{N}^{n(n+1)/2}, p \neq 0$   
 $n, p = 0$ .

in time  $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}(n)$ .

Pf of Lem 9.1 (using Lemma 9.2)

Clear if  $p = 0$ , so assume  $2 \leq p \leq n$ .

~~or  $p \mid n$~~  or  $p \nmid n$

~~so~~

The polynomial

$$h(x) = \frac{f(x)}{\prod_{1 \leq k \leq p-1} a_k(x)^k}$$

is a  $p$ -th power.

Recurisvely apply the alg. (from the Lem.) to  $\sqrt[p]{h(x)}$  of degree  $\leq \frac{n}{p}$ .

$$\rightarrow \sigma_L(x) = \prod_{t:} t(x) \quad \text{for } l=1, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \right\rfloor.$$

$v_t(\sqrt[p]{h(x)}) = l$   
 $\Leftrightarrow v_t(h(x)) = lp$

$\Rightarrow s_{k+lp} = \gcd(a_k, \sigma_L)$  for  $1 \leq k \leq p-1, 1 \leq l \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \right\rfloor$ .

$$s_k = \frac{a_k}{\prod_{l=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \right\rfloor} s_{k+lp}} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq p-1$$

$$s_{lp} = \frac{\sigma_L}{\prod_{k=1}^{p-1} s_{k+lp}} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq l \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \right\rfloor.$$

□

Alg for Lemma 9.2

(all gcds are assumed to be monic)

$$\text{compute } g = \gcd(f, f'), \quad b_0 = \frac{f}{g}, \quad c_0 = \frac{f'}{g} - b_0'$$

For  $k = 1, \dots, N+3$  (with  $\min(p, q) < k < p+q$ )  
 ~~$a_k = \frac{c_{k-1}}{b_{k-1}}$~~  :  
 ~~$b_k = \frac{b_{k-1}}{a_k}$~~

$$\text{compute } a_k = \gcd(b_{k-1}, c_{k-1}), \quad b_k = \frac{b_{k-1}}{a_k}, \quad c_k = \frac{c_{k-1}}{a_k} - b_k'$$

Claim (correctness) ~~of the CRT~~ We have

$$a_n = \prod_{t:} t(x)$$

$v_t(f) \equiv n \pmod{p}$

$$b_n = \prod_{t:} t(x)$$

$v_t(f) \not\equiv 0, \dots, n \pmod{p}$

$$c_n = \sum_{t:} (v_t(f) - (n+1)) \cdot \frac{t'(x)}{t(x)} \cdot b_n(x). \quad \text{for } n=0, \dots, N$$

Of (by induction over  $k$ )

~~Induction hypothesis~~

$k=0$ :

$$f(x) = \prod_t t(x)^{v_t(f)}$$

$$\Rightarrow f'(x) = \sum_{t:} v_t(f) \cdot \frac{t'(x)}{t(x)} \cdot f(x)$$

because  $t' \neq 0$

Otherwise: If  $v_t(f) = 0$ , then  $v_t(g) = 0$ .  
If  $v_t(f) \neq 0 \pmod{p}$ , then  $v_t(f') = v_t(f) - 1$ .  $\Rightarrow v_t(g) = v_t(f) - 1$ .  
(so  $v_t(f) \neq 0 \pmod{p}$ )

If  $v_t(f) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , then  $v_t(f') \geq v_t(f)$ .  $\Rightarrow v_t(g) = v_t(f)$ .  
(so  $v_t(f) = 0 \pmod{p}$ )

$$\Rightarrow g(x) = \prod_{\substack{t: \\ v_t(f) \neq 0}} t(x)^{v_t(f)-1} \cdot \prod_{\substack{t: \\ v_t(f) = 0}} t(x)^{v_t(f)}$$

$$\Rightarrow b_0(x) = \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \prod_{\substack{t: \\ v_t(f) \neq 0}} t(x)$$

$$c_0(x) = \frac{f'(x)}{g(x)} = \sum_{\substack{t: \\ v_t(f) \neq 0}} (v_t(f)-1) \frac{t'(x)}{t(x)} \cdot b_0(x)$$

~~Lemma 1~~

$l-1 \rightarrow l:$

- Let  $t \mid b_{n-1}$ .

Then,  $v_t(c_{k-1}) = \begin{cases} 1, & v_t(f) \equiv k \pmod{p} \\ 0, & v_t(f) \not\equiv k \pmod{p} \end{cases}$

$\Rightarrow a_n$  is as claimed.

$\Rightarrow b_n = \underline{\underline{\dots}}$

$$b'_n(x) = \sum_{t:} \frac{t'(x)}{t(x)} \cdot b_n(x).$$

$v_t(f) \neq 0, k$

$\Rightarrow c_n$  is as claimed. □

~~Observing factors~~

Claim: The alg has running time  $\tilde{\Theta}(n)$ .

Running time =  $\tilde{\Theta}(\sum \deg(a_u) + \sum \deg(b_u) + \sum \deg(c_u))$ .

~~$\deg(a_u) \leq \deg(b_{n-1})$~~

$\deg(c_u) \leq \deg(b_u)$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_u \deg(b_u) &\leq \sum_t v_t(f) \cdot \deg(t) \\ &= \deg(\prod t(x)^{v_t(f)}) \\ &= \deg(f) = n. \end{aligned}$$

□

~~Summary~~

## 10. Factoring over finite fields

### ~~10.1.1. Distinct-degree factorization~~

You've seen one method (Berlekamp-Zassenhaus) on problem set 3 (running time  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(n^w + n \log q)$ )

There are faster algorithms that works more like the root-finding alg. in section 8:

### 10.1.1. Distinct-degree factorisation

Lemma 10.1.1

$$X^{q^k} - X = \prod_{t \in F_q(x)} t(x).$$

$t \in F_q(x)$   
 monic irred  
 $\deg(t) \mid k$

Qf If  $t$  is irred. of degree  $d \mid k$ , then its splitting field is  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$

$\Rightarrow$  Each root  $r$  of  $t$  satisfies  $r^{q^k} = r$   
 $\Rightarrow$  RHS  $\mid$  LHS.

On the other hand, each root  $r$  of  $X^{q^k} - X$  lies in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}$ .

~~$\mathbb{F}_q \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^d} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^k}$~~  Now,  $\mathbb{F}_q \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^d} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^k}$ , so

$\mathbb{F}_q \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^d}$  for some  $d \mid k$ . The min. pol. of  $r$  has degree  $d$ .

$\Rightarrow$  LHS  $\mid$  RHS. □

Cor 10.1.2 Let  $f \in F_q[X]$  be a pol. of degree  $n$  and assume we are given the  $n$  polynomials  $x^{q^k} \pmod{f}$  for  $k=1, \dots, n$ . Then, we can compute ~~the degree  $k$  parts~~

$$g_k(x) = \prod_{\substack{\ell \text{ monic} \\ \deg(\ell) = k}} \ell(x) \text{ of } f(x) \text{ for } k=1, \dots, n$$

in time  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ .

Outline If  $f$  is squarefree, then  $f(x) = g_1(x) \cdots g_n(x)$ .

Alg Let  $h_0 = f$ . w.l.o.g.  $f$  is squarefree (after ~~using~~ using Thm 9.1 and replacing  $f(x)$  by  $s_1(x) \cdots s_n(x)$ .)

For  $k=1, \dots, n$ :

$$\text{compute } g_k = \gcd(h_{k-1}, x^{q^k} - x)$$

$$\text{and } h_k = \frac{h_{k-1}}{g_k}.$$

~~(At each step, we "compute"  $h_k = h_{k-1} \text{ in } \mathcal{O}(B)$ )~~

$\text{mod } f$

Q How to compute  $\alpha_k = x^{q^k} \pmod{f}$  for  $k=1, \dots, n$ ?

~~Fast exponentiation takes time  $\mathcal{O}(n \log q) = \mathcal{O}(\log q)$ .~~

Outline  $\alpha_k = \alpha_{k-1}^{q^k}$ , so using fast exponentiation, we can compute  $\alpha_n$  from  $\alpha_{n-1}$  in  $\mathcal{O}(n \log q)$ .

$\Rightarrow$  total time  $\mathcal{O}(n^2 \log q)$ .

We can do faster!

Brute  $\alpha_{k+c}(x) \equiv x^{q+k} \equiv (x^{q^k})^{q^c} \equiv \alpha_c(\alpha_k(x)) \text{ mod } f(x).$

Warning In general, if  $\alpha(x) \equiv \beta(x) \text{ mod } f(x)$ , then  $\alpha(y(x)) \equiv \beta(y(x)) \text{ mod } f(y)$  not mod  $f(x)$

If of Brute  $\alpha_c(x) \equiv x^{q^c} \text{ mod } f(x)$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_c(\alpha_k(x)) \equiv \alpha_k(x)^{q^c} \text{ mod } f(\alpha_k(x)).$$

Since  $f(\alpha_k(x)) \equiv f(x^{q^k}) \equiv f(x)^{q^k} \equiv 0 \text{ mod } f(x)$ ,

$$\boxed{\alpha_k(x) \equiv x^{q^k} \text{ mod } f(x)}$$

$y \mapsto y^{q^k}$  is a hom. on  $F_q[x]$   
and fixes the coeff. of  $f$

this implies

$$\alpha_c(\alpha_k(x)) \equiv \alpha_k(x)^{q^c} \equiv (x^{q^k})^{q^c} \equiv x^{q^{k+c}} \equiv \alpha_{k+c}(x) \text{ mod } f(x)$$

[